

**CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CANTON
PLANNING COMMISSION PROCEEDINGS
July 25, 2022**

A Special meeting of the Planning Commission of the Charter Township of Canton was held at 1150 South Canton Center Road, Canton, MI 48188 on Monday, July 11, 2022. Chairman Greene called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

ROLL CALL:

Members Present: Acharya, Okon, Weber, Zuber, Greene

Absent: Foster, Eggenberger, Singh, Watkins

Eggenberger arrived at 7:27 and Singh arrived at 7:47

STAFF PRESENT: Patrick Sloan and Erin Schlutow

TOWNSHIP CONSULTANTS PRESENT: Sarah Gabis, Attorney with Foster Swift Collins & Smith PC

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF JULY 11, 2022

Motion by Weber, supported by Okon, to approve the Minutes of July 11, 2022, as presented. Members not present at the July 11, 2022 meeting stated that they would abstain.

Motion by Zuber, supported by Weber, to withdraw the motion and table the approval of the July 11, 2022 Planning Commission minutes. Motion carried unanimously on voice vote.

Mr. Sloan stated prior notice was given by Commissioners Foster and Watkins that they would not be in attendance for this evening's meeting.

ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA

Motion by Zuber, supported by Weber, to approved agenda as presented. Ayes all present on a voice vote. Motion carried.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

None.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Carol Beck (591 Roosevelt, Canton, MI, 48188) stated representatives from the Cherry Hill Village Home Owners Association stated they want to fix the mistake. Ms. Beck said the residence

of Unit 101 will experience a hardship. Ms. Beck stated Unit 101 has four units and each unit has a 2-car garage with no driveway. Ms. Beck said the homeowners who bought with the peninsula knew what they were purchasing.

Ms. Dana Hearn (50037 Monroe, Canton, MI, 48188) stated she lives in the corner condo in Unit 101 and her neighbors made her aware of this situation on June 20. Ms. Hearn said that this is the first opportunity she has had to make any comments regarding the proposed project. Ms. Hearn stated that she wants to stress that there should be some sort of survey or understanding of what is supposed to be Lot 101 and Lot 102. Ms. Hearn stated she has safety concerns for those pulling out of driveways and for the children who live in the condo. Ms. Hearn said she would like the decision to be what is fair and safe. Ms. Hearn said she bought her condo last year and is concerned about safety and making sure things are being done safely and legally. Ms. Hearn discussed Association fees and expenditures.

Ms. Beth Vaughn (49780 Hanford Rd., Canton, MI, 48187) stated she has been to many of the Blessing Rezoning meetings. Ms. Vaughn said the last set of fact findings from the agenda said that we couldn't talk about flooding because the issue would be raised when the plan was reviewed. Ms. Vaughn stated she lives behind the Westchester subdivision and when the last houses were built there her yard started to flood. Ms. Vaughn said when the creek rises it floods part of her property, and she is unable to cut her grass and the problem is getting worse. Ms. Vaughn stated the neighbor's house around the corner has similar issue and she showed pictures. Ms. Vaughn showed a picture of the corner at Hanford and Ridge Rd. and said she is unable to see when a car coming, she almost was hit driving to the meeting tonight and it is dangerous to get to the sidewalk. Ms. Vaughn said it is too late to make changes because the development there is finished. Ms. Vaughn said when improvements are made, the residents have to live with it daily. Ms. Vaughn stated she is asking for fairness and equity in the area of the proposed rezoning and would like the geographic location of the property to be considered. Ms. Vaughn said the property has a huge hill and to build a half dozen homes rather than 30 would not be bad.

Ms. Zuber requested the audience to not applaud. Ms. Zuber and Ms. Vaughn discussed issues related to infrastructure and findings of fact. Weber stated that he made the motion at the previous meeting and did not include findings of fact in the motion. Zuber stated that including the findings of fact in the motion is a procedural requirement.

Ms. Elaine Jeris (51326 Murry Hill Dr., Canton, MI, 48187) discussed the applicant and asked if a land swap could happen regarding the Blessing property, similar to the property swap that is currently Patriot Park.

Chairman Greene stated the Public Comment section is not for questions to be answered by the Commission.

Ms. Jeris asked about the public notice requirements. Mr. Sloan stated every property within 300 feet of the subject site received a public hearing notice by mail prior to the March 7, 2022 Planning Commission meeting, pursuant to the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act. The notices

were mailed at least 15 days before the hearing.

Ms. Inderjeet Talwar (561 Roosevelt St., Canton, MI, 48188) stated she is concerned about the safety of the kids in Unit 101 and the kids who go to the park.

Ms. Victoria DeVinney (7272 Bircklan, Canton, MI, 48187) stated she is speaking on behalf of her neighbor, Bernadette Glowski, who did soil research on the Blessing property area. Ms. DeVinney stated the research was done last September and e-mail to Mr. Sloan and Ms. Foster in April of this year. Ms. DeVinney stated the large portions of the property holds water and may not be suitable for such a development. Ms. DeVinney wanted to make sure her neighbor's e-mail had been dispersed and would be considered at the meeting this evening.

Mr. Sloan stated the referenced e-mail is one of two emails that were forwarded to the Planning Commission for review prior to tonight's meeting.

Ms. Kathy Johnson (590 Roosevelt St., Unit 102, Canton, MI, 48188) stated she is frustrated because so much of her time has been spent on this issue and she and her husband have been more than patient with this situation.

Mr. Jonathan Johnson (590 Roosevelt St., Unit 102, Canton, MI, 48188) stated he understands why some people don't want the egress. Mr. Johnson stated the residents of the condos are trespassing on his lot to use their garage. Mr. Johnson stated the owners at 590 Roosevelt have been inconvenienced enough by not being able to use their property. Mr. Johnson said there are other situations of alleyways emptying into roadways in Cherry Hill Village. Mr. Johnson stated the proposed project is more efficient than what is currently there. Mr. Johnson said the driveway will have a larger entrance as opposed to driving on the grass. Mr. Johnson stated being able to park directly behind your garage is helpful when snow is on the ground. Mr. Johnson said he has seen a lot of water accumulation in the winter on the egress, it was built wrong, professionals have come up with a new and more efficient plan and it should be considered.

Mr. Thomas Vassas (620 Roosevelt St., Canton, MI, 48188) stated he lives directly south and wants to speak to the safety. He recommends approving the proposed driveway. He said that the current drive creates a blind spot and that the proposed driveway will be a safer development for his children.

Mr. Jack Ventimiglia (50191 Harding St., Canton, MI, 48188) stated he is a board member of the Cherry Hill Village Home Owners Association (HOA). Mr. Ventimiglia said this came up in 2019/2020 as an issue. Mr. Ventimiglia said as a board member, we represent the entire community and to come up with the most equitable solution for all people involved. Mr. Ventimiglia stated the HOA board has worked with engineers and homeowners and are trying to present an equitable solution and the right solution. Mr. Ventimiglia stated that the Johnson's spoke about their driveway issue, and the current driveway was a design and building flaw that the HOA is trying to fix now. Mr. Ventimiglia said the HOA has looked at various options, including moving the transformer and the safety of the area. Mr. Ventimiglia said this is a very

unique development, alleyways exist around the entire development and creating the egress would not be unique. Mr. Ventimiglia stated the board's position is that this development is the best solution for the condo unit and the homeowners involved.

Ms. Zuber asked if the HOA has been communicated with all the homeowners about the proposed project.

Mr. Ventimiglia stated that the HOA sends out the agenda and notices of meetings and all homeowners are welcomed to join.

Ms. Teresa Savage (3976 Napier Rd., Canton, MI, 48187) stated she is present to talk about the Blessing Rezoning. Ms. Savage stated the residents are against the rezoning and not all of the rezoning standards are being met, and she listed several criteria. Ms. Savage stated the Planning Commission voted to deny the rezoning in March. Ms. Savage said the property should be preserved and not be developed much. Ms. Savage said grants for conservancy could be sought out. Ms. Savage stated the Planning Commission voted no in March and would like them to continue to vote no.

Ms. Paula Merollis (3950 Napier Rd., Canton, MI, 48187) stated she lives next to the subject site and should this development continue she would see construction in her backyard. Ms. Merollis has concerns about flooding. Ms. Merollis stated Pilgrim Village has a lot of children and she has concerns about additional traffic this will bring to the area. Ms. Merollis said the Planning Commission should walk the property to see what it's like. Ms. Merollis spoke about her background in the insurance industry and said there is a potential for a big problem with this development. Ms. Merollis said other properties in Canton could be developed. Ms. Merollis stated she has heard others speak tonight regarding a design flaw in Cherry Hill Village and said she doesn't want to see communities ruined. Ms. Merollis said she would like to see a forest and there are not many properties like this left. Ms. Merollis said flooding is a big problem and she has not seen any information about how that is going to be resolved from civil engineers. Ms. Merollis said Warren Rd. and Ridge Rd, has visibility issues to address. Ms. Merollis said she would like answers to her questions, timelines supplied, and how this project will be done so there won't be a decrease in property values. Ms. Merollis would like the Planning Commission to vote no regarding this project.

Ms. Julie Dalsis (7680 Thornwood St., Canton, MI, 48187) discussed rezoning criteria in the Zoning Ordinance and natural features. She discussed soil conditions of the U.S. Soil Conservation Service. EGLE labeled part of the site as wetland.

Mr. Mohammed Firdous (50063 Monroe St., Unit 101, Canton, MI, 48188) stated he has lived in Cherry Hill Village for over 3 years and he was not notified about the project until last week. Mr. Firdous said he is concerned that he will lose access to Filmore. Mr. Firdous stated he has safety concerns for the children in the area because the proposed project is very close to a park and there is limited sight distance. Mr. Firdous said if the area is closed there will not be a driveway to the park or any place to put trash.

Ms. Paulette Abdullah (7645 Thornwood Dr., Canton, MI, 48187) stated she was present to address the Blessing rezoning. Ms. Abdullah discussed the zoning, consistency with surrounding area, and commented on the applicant's representative.

Chairman Greene requested the speaker to not verbally attack the applicant or their representatives.

Ms. Abdullah stated the property is currently zoned RR and it has been looked at being rezoned in the past. Ms. Abdullah stated this property should not be rezoned and it should stay RR to match the area. Ms. Abdullah said the property can be built and developed.

Mr. Joseph Abdullah (7645 Thornwood Dr., Canton, MI, 48187) stated he has lived through flooding situations and asked for this project to not be approved. Mr. Abdullah said the proposed development is on high land and contains a creek, and expressed concern with the development's impact on the ecosystem. Mr. Abdullah stated the area is special and he cares about the various wild life. Mr. Abdullah said the road conditions are horrendous, buses get stuck, and children have to wait to be picked up.

There were no additional public comments.

1. 026-RZ-7128 **BLESSING REZONING** – Consider Rezoning for parcel no 026-99-0003-000. Property is located south of Warren Road between Ridge Road and Napier Road.

Chairman Greene asked for any additional public comments, and there were none.

Planning Commission asked Corporate Counsel to clarify what the Commission is tasked with for the first agenda item.

Ms. Gabis stated when a decision is made regarding a rezoning, it needs to be supported with finding of fact per the Zoning Ordinance. Ms. Gabis stated she reviewed the Planning Commission's motion at its March 7, 2022 meeting and found the findings of fact were incomplete. Ms. Gabis said the Township Board has remanded the proposed amendment to the Planning Commission for additional findings of fact, including the required review considerations of the Zoning Ordinance to be included in the Planning Commission's motion. Ms. Gabis read the Township Board motion, "to remand the proposed amendment to Appendix A – Zoning of the Code of Ordinances of the Charter Township of Canton on tax parcel no. 71-026-99-0003-000 to the Planning Commission for additional findings of fact including, but not limited to, the required review considerations of Section 27.06(D)(4) of the Zoning Ordinance in its motion". Ms. Gabis said the purpose of tonight's action item is to articulate in a motion the reasons why the Planning Commission motion was made at the March 7, 2022 meeting.

Chairman Greene referenced the zoning amendment criteria of Section 27.06(D)(4) of the Zoning Ordinance and stated criteria #4 is not met. Chairman Greene said the Comprehensive Plan recommends a maximum density, but the approved density can be lower. Greene discussed adjacent zoning and asked Mr. Sloan to show the Zoning Map and stated the Township's northwest quadrant is the least dense and was intended to be low and very low density. Greene

stated that this would effect rezoning criteria #4, #6, and #9. The subdivisions in the northwest quadrant have always been intended to be low and very low density. We are at the point where Canton is almost all built out. Many of these areas were primarily farmland 30-40 years ago. Greene said that Canton is losing an opportunity to keep very low density, and those are his reasons for recommending denial. Greene recommends keeping the RR zoning intact. Greene said there is a special nature about having more land than what you see in subdivisions, and people are asking to preserve more land in the northwest part of the township.

Mr. Weber stated the Planning Commission does not have the authority to require the site to be a park or make similar decisions on requiring a use on a property. The owner must make an application for a zoning, use, and/or development proposal. Mr. Weber stated he had many reasons to oppose the rezoning when he made the denial motion originally. Mr. Weber stated a specific element to consider is rezoning criteria # 4, which speaks about correcting an unequable situation. Mr. Weber said he doesn't feel that an unequitable situation is created based on the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map. The Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map haven't created an issue where the property owner is unfairly treated. Mr. Weber stated that there are many types of housing in the township and we need to look at the diversity of property ownership. The community should be proud that we have different opportunities to achieve different living situations they would like to have. Weber said there is no ready why the subject property can't abide by its current zoning. Weber noted that rezoning criteria #7 is not met and that the proposed rezoning is not consistent with other properties around it. There is no need to increase density than what already exists. Weber said he would like to preserve this current zoning in this area of the township for people who like this area of the township. Weber noted that rezoning criteria #8 is not met because there are potential problems down the road, particularly with flooding. Weber stated that some things are better left alone, and that there were a number of issues in the 10 rezoning criteria that he found to not approve the rezoning.

Mr. Acharya stated his decision was based on the standards of rezoning criteria #4, 7, and 8. Mr. Acharya said the biggest challenge would be the potential for ecological destruction.

Ms. Zuber wanted to clarify a comment from a member of the public regarding a comment about deer. Zuber stated that it was not said that the Planning Commissioners did not care about deer.

Chairman Greene asked Mr. Sloan for assistance in making a motion. Mr. Sloan stated the motion should include findings of fact of Section 27.06(D)(4) of the Zoning Ordinance and to state the reasons within the motion. Mr. Sloan said he would defer Chairman Greene's question to Corporate Counsel.

Ms. Gabis stated the Commission can make a motion and then provide their findings in support of the Planning Commission's original March 7, 2022 motion. Those findings would including the rezoning criteria previously mentioned by the Planning Commissioners, which include #4, 6, 7, 8, and 9.

Ms. Eggenberger asked if those who were not in attendance at the March meeting when the original motion was made should still vote on the motion made today.

Ms. Gabis stated Planning Commissioners have a duty to vote on items that come before them, and recommended not abstaining from the vote today.

Chairman Greene stated that some of the Planning Commissioners present tonight were not present at the March 7, 2022 meeting.

Ms. Gabis stated the only reason to abstain from voting this evening would be due to a personal conflict of interest.

Motion by Weber, supported by Okon, to provide findings of fact in support of the March 7, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting motion as follows:

Ten standards have to be considered when reviewing rezoning request. The ones that do not meet the standards of Section 27.06(D)(4) of the Zoning Ordinance are:

#3 – Conditions in this area have not significantly changed

#4 – The amendment does not correct an inequitable situation. The situation is equitable as it stands now and would create more hardship on neighboring properties.

#6 – Standard is not met.

#7 – Much of the property in the area is zoned RR and will continue to be zoned RR.

#9 – The proposed zoning for the property would not be consistent with the adjacent properties. There are still RR properties neighboring this parcel. Rezoning would create inconsistency and would not be compliant with the trends in the area.

Ms. Gabis said she recommends that the first part of the motion be amended for clarification and include that the Commission is re-acting and that this motion is to include findings of fact to support the Planning Commission's motion of denial at the March 7, 2022 Planning Commission meeting. Therefore, the Planning Commission is not reconsidering its March 7, 2022 motion to recommend denial of the rezoning application.

Further discussion took place on how to word the amendment to the motion.

Amended motion by Weber, supported by Okon to include in the first part of the motion that the Planning Commission is re-acting to recommend denial of the zoning amendment application.

The roll was called on the amended motion.

Ayes: Acharya, Eggenberger, Okon, Singh, Weber, Zuber, Greene

Nays: None

Absent: Foster, Watkins

Motion carried.

Motion by Zuber, supported by Singh, to take the Minutes of July 11, 2022 Planning Commission meeting off the table. Ayes all present on a voice vote.

Motion by Eggenberger, supported by Okon, to approve the Minutes of July 11, 2022, as

presented. Three members were absent at the July 11, 2022 meeting and abstained from voting.

Motion withdrawn by Eggenberger.

Motion by Zuber, supported by Singh, to table the Minutes of July 11, 2022. Ayes all present on a voice vote.

2. 074-SFP-7079 **CHERRY HILL VILLAGE UNIT 101 SITE PLAN AMENDMENT** – Consider Site Plan Amendment on parcel no. 074-03-0000-000. Property is located on the west side of Roosevelt Street between Monroe Street and Filmore Street. Proposed changes to site driveways. Reconsideration of approval granted by the Planning Commission on February 7, 2022.

Mr. Sloan summarized the staff report, dated July 25, 2022, Item #2.

Mr. Sloan said questions of concern raised at the previous Planning Commission meeting regarding alternate plan designs, increasing potential safety issues, and soliciting feedback from the Department of Public Safety and the School District have been addressed. Mr. Sloan stated if the Planning Commission is satisfied with the revised plans to improve the clear vision area as well as other items on the plan, Staff recommends approval of the driveway access subject to the installation of the stop sign where the proposed driveway intersects Roosevelt St.

Mr. Sloan stated two model motions have been drafted and explained them.

Mr. Acharya stated at the previous meeting he voiced having safety concerns for the neighbors and children in the area and his concern remain. Mr. Acharya said he is not in favor of the project.

Chairman Green asked if the proposed driveway would be different than others that currently exist in Cherry Hill Village.

Mr. Acharya stated this situation is unique and is not a simple driveway. Mr. Acharya said the neighbors have concerns about blind spots and he does not want to support something that could cause harm to children.

Further discussion took place regarding similar drives and children being caution in the area. Greene stated that pedestrians should watch for cars where sidewalks cross alleyways. Okon stated that he was not in support of the proposed design.

Mr. Weber stated this is a no-win situation and had hoped the parties involved could have come up with an equitable solution. Mr. Weber said the original design was bad and asked Mr. Sloan if anything new has been submitted regarding a design change.

Mr. Sloan stated there has been no design change since the last Planning Commission review of the project and the only new information being presented tonight is Staff's recommendation to add a stop sign at the Roosevelt St. intersection.

Weber stated that he wished the people in the community could get together for an equitable solution, and he feels like the Planning Commission is being asked to officiate a neighborhood battle. Weber was undecided on the proposed design.

Ms. Gabis stated that a ZBA (Zoning Board of Appeals) case is still pending and the ZBA has remanded the case back to the Planning Commission for their recommendation based only on the new information obtained. Ms. Gabis said the Planning Commission has already made a decision on the Site Plan and now the ZBA is asking for the Planning Commission's recommendation on the new information received at the ZBA. Ms. Gabis stated the Planning Commission's motion this evening is not to approve or deny the Site Plan because that is in front of the ZBA now. Ms. Gabis stated she wanted to clarify that the Planning Commission's motion this evening is to provide recommendations to the ZBA based on the new information received, the ZBA will then decide to approve or deny the ZBA appeal.

Weber stated that the original motion by the Planning Commission at its February 7, 2022 meeting stands and that the ZBA has remanded the application back to the Planning Commission.

Further discussion took place regarding the recommendation to the ZBA.

Ms. Zuber stated other alleys exist in the area that are equally close to the park and she doesn't see the project as being unique, other than being new.

Motion by Eggenberger, supported by Acharya, to recommend that the ZBA take all the new information that has come before the Planning Commission and the ZBA into account when making a final decision.

Further discussion took place regarding safety concerns, including stop signs. Greene wants the ZBA to review moving the utility box based on a previous mistake to put them in their current location. Eggenberger noted that the ZBA should take all comments into account. Singh stated that there were no comments received from residents of the condos at the March 7, 2022 Planning Commission meeting.

Ayes: Acharya, Eggenberger, Okon, Singh, Weber, Zuber, Greene

Nays: None

Absent: Foster, Watkins

Further discussion took place regarding a meeting date for when the ZBA would review the proposed project again.

NEW BUSINESS-SITE PLANS

3. 050-SPC-7336 **MISTER CAR WASH** – Consider Site Plan on parcel no. 050-99-0009-0003 (2100 Haggerty Road). Property is located on the east side of Haggerty Road between Ford Road and Cherry Hill Road. Proposed use is

an automatic car wash.

Mr. Sloan summarized the staff report, dated July 25, 2022, Item #3 and stated the applicant emailed Staff today revised signage plans that have not been reviewed yet.

Mr. Sloan stated Staff recommends approval of the site plan for an automobile wash establishment use as illustrated on the Site Plan for the reasons stated in the Staff report, subject to the items noted in the review letter being addressed and corrected prior to review by the Township Board of Trustees and subject to all State, County, and Township requirements.

Mr. Sloan stated prior to being reviewed by the Township Board the sign requirements and some minor items will need to be met and verified by the applicant.

Mr. Chuck Hoyt (Nederveld, 217 Grandville SW, Grand Rapids, MI, 49503) is the project representative and he summarized the car wash project.

Ms. Eggenberger stated she is pleased to see one entrance to the site.

Ms. Zuber stated she would like consideration given to the street facing elevations.

Mr. Hoyt stated signage and the office doors will face Haggerty Rd., and Ms. Zuber acknowledged that design.

Chairman Greene asked if the DDA has been consulted regarding the ground signs and stated ground signs fall within the DDA jurisdiction and they have additional requirements that need to be met.

Mr. Hoyt stated a lot of signs are proposed for this site. Mr. Hoyt said new sign plans have been submitted and the monument sign should now be compliant, but he would like to talk about it further with staff.

Chairman Greene said he likes to look of the project and it is a good use of the land.

Mr. Weber asked Mr. Sloan if the easement was located in the north.

Mr. Sloan stated the easement is to the east and showed the plan sheet.

Mr. Weber asked if the applicant was aware of all the car washes coming to the area and if he has done market studies.

Mr. Hoyt stated they have done a Market Study and they are confident in their research. Mr. Hoyt said the site is ideal and likely to be successful. Mr. Hoyt stated a lot of carwashes are going to the subscription model with repeat clients.

Mr. Acharya stated he thinks this is a great project.

Mr. Hoyt stated he wanted to clarify a few things identified in the review letter regarding signage. Mr. Hoyt asked if the Planning Commission can grant relief from signage requirements. Mr. Sloan stated any relief from the sign standards of the Zoning Ordinance cannot be granted by the Planning Commission. Mr. Sloan said an application would need to be made to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for a variance and demonstrate a practical difficulty. The earliest date for a ZBA meeting would be in September. Mr. Sloan stated if an application is made to the ZBA, this current application would not be brought before the Township Board of Trustees until the ZBA application has been resolved.

Motion by Zuber, supported by Singh, to move to recommend approval of the site plan for an automobile wash establishment use on parcel no. 71-050-99-0009-003 as illustrated on the Site Plan, including approval of a modification to the depth of the foundation landscape areas on the west and east sides of the building pursuant to Section 5.07 of the Zoning Ordinance, subject to all State, County, and Township requirements and subject to modifying the signage plans to comply with Article 6A and Section 6.10 of the Zoning Ordinance prior to review by the Township Board of Trustees.

Ayes: Acharya, Eggenberger, Okon, Singh, Weber, Zuber, Greene

Nays: None

Absent: Foster, Watkins

REPORTS AND DISCUSSION

Mr. Weber asked for an update regarding the Master Plan.

Ms. Schlutow stated the public engagement activities have been going very well. Staff attended the Pride OUTside and Rally on Ridge events, and has received a lot of feedback from the public. Ms. Schlutow stated public engagements will continue, and in the future we will have education videos and Zoom meetings for the public. Ms. Schlutow said applications have been received for the Master Plan Advisory Board and are currently being reviewed. Ms. Schlutow said a community survey is being drafted and will be in the Focus newsletter, Facebook, and various other areas for public viewing once completed. Ms. Schlutow stated comment boards will remain out at their current public facilities until the end of August.

ADJOURN

Motion by Zuber, supported by Weber to adjourn the meeting. Ayes all present on a voice vote.

Meeting adjourned at 9:05 P.M.

Kelly Dandy
Recording Secretary